The Copyright Conundrum: Insights into AIGC and UGC Perspectives

Han Hu[1]

[1] *Dalingshan Town, Dongguan, Guangdong, China*

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence-Generated Content (AIGC) is increasingly pivotal in the integration of artificial intelligence across diverse sectors, such as writing and design. This research endeavors to investigate the legal, copyright, and user-generated content aspects of AIGC. It aims to undertake a comprehensive analysis of pertinent issues from both economic and legal viewpoints, with the goal of enhancing copyright protection and promoting digital creativity within the United Kingdom and the United States. Importantly, this study addresses a notable gap in existing research regarding the segmentation of AIGC. By offering valuable insights to scholars, practitioners, and policymakers, this research seeks to foster a nuanced understanding of the intricate interplay between technological advancements and copyright regulations in contemporary settings. Ultimately, it aspires to facilitate innovation, safeguard intellectual property, and ensure equitable use, thereby providing essential guidance for navigating the complexities associated with AI-driven intellectual property challenges.

Keywords: User, AI, Copyright Protection, AIGC, UGC.

1. INTRODUCTION

This study aims to inspect the impact of artificial intelligence technology on copyright protection and user-generated content within the digital economy. It specifically focuses on two primary categories: AI-generated content (AIGC) and user-generated content (UGC) that is facilitated by AI technologies, alongside the associated copyright implications in both the United Kingdom and the United States. AIGC encompasses a range of creative outputs, including artworks, textual compositions, and audiovisual materials produced through methodologies such as machine learning, text and data mining, and natural language processing. The research will delve into the processes involved in the creation of AIGC, distinguishing between fully automated content generation and AI-assisted UGC. The central objective of this inquiry is to analyze the intricate relationship between AIGC and copyright issues in the contemporary digital landscape. In doing so, it seeks to develop innovative frameworks that not only safeguard copyrights but also encourage creativity and uphold ethical standards. Furthermore, this study will undertake a comprehensive examination of AIGC and AI-enhanced UGC across various digital platforms. It will consider potential strategies for the effective preservation of copyrights, thereby contributing to a deeper understanding of the evolving dynamics between technological advancements and intellectual property rights in the digital age.

2. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI)

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly significant across various industries, particularly within the art sector. It presents a dual-edged challenge: while it offers valuable support for creative processes and tasks, it also raises critical issues regarding copyright protection. To address these challenges effectively, it is essential to first comprehend the nature of AI and its operational mechanisms. AI systems can interpret and respond to human intelligence without necessitating the replication of biological characteristics inherent to the human brain [1]. This capability is often referred to as machine learning, which involves training custom AI models, such as ChatGPT, that users can integrate into their daily activities. Alan Turing established criteria for assessing machine

intelligence, positing that a machine should be regarded as intelligent if it can convincingly mimic human behavior to an informed observer [2]. The development of AI is primarily undertaken by programmers and computer scientists, with its functionalities largely realized through Natural Language Processing (NLP) algorithms and Machine Learning (ML) techniques. These technologies enhance user interaction with AI systems. Notably, Large Language Models (LLMs), such as ChatGPT, have had a profound impact on economic growth and innovation across diverse sectors. By facilitating more efficient communication and information processing, LLMs are reshaping traditional workflows and creating new opportunities for creativity and productivity in numerous fields [3].

3. RESEARCH VALUES

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become increasingly integrated into numerous contemporary workplaces. Its applications span a wide range of industries, enhancing operational efficiency, streamlining processes, and facilitating data-driven decision-making. As organizations adopt AI technologies, they are transforming traditional workflows and redefining job roles to leverage the capabilities of intelligent systems. As we mentioned above, LLMs, typified by GPT-4, have diverse applications in text creation and music composition [4]. In addition, advanced AI, utilizing neural network-based software, has the remarkable capability to generate new ideas autonomously. This process occurs without human intervention, leading to the creation of complex and artistically valuable works. AI is anticipated to foster innovation and creativity in the digital age [5]. Again, OpenAI's ChatGPT, a generative AI model designed for NLP, represents a significant advancement in the field. Generative AI models possess the capability to comprehend various data types as language [6]. This paper posits that artificial intelligence (AI) technologies have the potential to enhance worker efficiency in specific tasks, particularly in roles such as librarianship, document classification, the creation of research proposals, and the analysis of research data. These applications are particularly relevant for tasks that are characterized by rigid structures and do not necessitate advanced professional skills or specialized expertise. By automating these functions, AI can streamline workflows and allow human workers to focus on more complex and creative aspects of their roles.

4. GENRES

4.1 AIGC

AI can autonomously generate artworks in the era of advanced technology. The copyright status, ownership and accountability of AIGC have been widely discussed at the institutional and legal levels [7]. For instance, the eDavid AI project represents a fusion of art and technology, demonstrating its ability to produce artwork in the style of renowned artists such as Rembrandt. This innovative project emphasizes the potential of algorithms and mathematics in the realm of aesthetics. It emphasizes the importance of collaborations between artists and researchers, as they explore the integration of technology and art. Meanwhile, the project also prompts important inquiries regarding the involvement of robots in the creative process and the coexistence of art generated by algorithms and humans. Additionally, it raises concerns about copyright matters pertaining to AI-generated and user-generated content [8].

"The Next Rembrandt" is an AI-generated portrait that employs algorithms inspired by the styles and motifs found in Rembrandt's art. The majority of decisions regarding the final painting were made by the machine through predetermined algorithms, thereby reflecting the computer's interpretation of Rembrandt's aesthetics [9]. Determining the joint authorship of "The Next Rembrandt" is a complex task, particularly when multiple individuals contribute to its creation. However, the necessity of such determination may be alleviated by the legal concept of "work made for hire [10]." The other program Aaron autonomously produces paintings without human involvement, which have been exhibited in museums globally. This has sparked inquiries into the essence of art and creativity, prompting a pertinent research endeavor to ascertain the true creative nature of Aaron's creations [11].

The discourse surrounding originality, authorship, and ownership of AI-generated content in the literary and artistic realm presents notable complexities. Existing software copyright primarily centers on code rather than graphical displays or functionality. Moreover, the current copyright framework heavily relies on individual creators, potentially hindering the utilization of AI content and impeding creative pursuits. Researchers argue that viable solutions, such as addressing orphan works and implementing copyright exceptions, are necessary to promote the reproduction and reuse of

AI-generated content [12]. Several notable examples of AIGC include "1 the Road" by Ross Godwin and Kenric McDowell, "The Day a Computer wrote a Novel" by the Kimagure Artificial Intelligence Writer Project, "Dinner Depression" by Julia Joy Raffel, "World Clock" by Nick Montfort, and "Irritant" by Darby Larson. These works highlight the innovative potential of AIGC and its significant implications for the industry, pointing towards the emergence of a literary ecosystem centered on AI [13]. Based on the aforementioned cases, this paper asserts that AIGC is prevalent in various creative endeavors, particularly within the art industry. AIGC generates unique artworks by utilizing input data derived from existing paintings, articles, and other sources.

4.2 UGC

In today's digital age, User-Generated Content (UGC) is being augmented by AI-generated text, code, and ideas. UGC encompasses a wide range of content formats, including text, audiovisuals, and artworks. Typically, users independently create and disseminate this content through different channels for sharing and communication. UGC can originate from individual efforts or from a combination of copyrighted and unidentified sources [14]. User-Generated Content (UGC) raises copyright concerns regarding existing copyrighted sources, which may necessitate permission for reuse. Legal considerations such as substantiality, exceptions, public domain, compulsory licenses, and idea expression should be carefully addressed during the creation and sharing of UGC [15]. In addition, a survey underscores AI's role in enhancing user-generated content, particularly writing, across industries. The integration of AI in writing processes improves content quality and experience, benefiting diverse sectors. This highlights AI's valuable contribution to user-generated content creation [16].

5. COPYRIGHT CONCERNS

Both AIGC and UGC enhance creativity and efficiency. However, societal awareness of the copyright implications associated with these emerging technologies remains limited, with only a few individuals and social media platforms recognizing the underlying concerns. This paper argues that AIGC and UGC should be afforded copyright protection, necessitating specific legal frameworks to safeguard them. Addressing these issues can promote social ethics, stimulate

creativity, and support the advancement of AI technologies. AI plays a crucial role in modern technology, enabling machines to excel without direct human intervention. Its impact will continue to grow across various industries, utilizing machine learning for essential tasks. The current challenge lies in effectively managing and implementing AI, as well as fostering innovative business practices [17]. Moreover, AI can also give rise to issues related to morality, legality, economy and the environment [18]. Whether AI developers need right holders' permission to use content for training their algorithms will have great impact on copyright concerns, innovation policy and the AI development [19]. With the rise of digital media, authors have more options, but this also brings about an increase in copyright infringement. The challenges posed by the digital realm are further aggravated by the transition to digital formats, as traditional copyright laws are ill-equipped to address them [20]. The integration of AI in User-Generated Content (UGC) raises important copyright concerns. Beyond financial benefits on digital platforms, the implications of AI-supported UGC challenge traditional copyright and revenue models [21]. Moreover, the emergence of a lucrative market for virtual goods raises critical questions regarding the need for distinct copyright regulations for virtual creations. Artificial Intelligence-Generated Content (AIGC) represents tangible works of significant value and creative input, highlighting the necessity of revising existing intellectual property laws to better accommodate the unique characteristics of virtual creations. This situation underscores the demand for innovative legal solutions in this rapidly evolving landscape [22].

6. POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS

6.1 Joint Authorship

This paper investigates the role of joint authorship in AIGC and AI-assisted UGC. By examining joint authorship practices in the UK and US, we can acquire insights into historical research that may contribute to the divergence of perspectives within the common law world [23]. In a case study of AI-assisted photography, the existing copyright law disregards the identity of AI because of its non-personhood. This creates challenges in accurately attributing human contributions and increases the risk of misattribution. Furthermore, Ethical AI guidelines accentuate the importance of transparency in

human-AI interaction. However, copyright laws lack mandates for AI disclosure, highlighting the need for comprehensive discussions to address mandatory attribution and transparency requirements [24]. Joint authorship between users and AI company or AI programmers might be a practical way to regulate copyright issues.

6.2 Balanced Method

A research article delves into AIGC, UGC, facilitated by AI and copyright challenges. It proposes two options: denying copyright or crediting programmers. Specifically, the UK's approach tends to balance innovation and creators' rights, diverges from various jurisdictional stances. This prompts contemplation on protecting AGWs amid advancing capabilities [25]. Nikola Bojkov compared UGC and AIGC concerning copyright. UGC stands out for authenticity and community engagement, while AIGC excels in efficiency but lacks human touch. He mentioned notable challenges include variable UGC quality and AIGC's creativity gap and recommended a balanced approach integrating both for comprehensive content creation and engagement [26]. One promising idea for re-imagining copyright law is to return rights to creative sources, especially for under-exploited works [27]. Compensating UGC and rewarding user creativity within copyright limits are both feasible approaches. This prompt underscores the significance of copyright incentives and UGC's value through passive content monetization [28].

7. CONCLUSION

Both Artificial Intelligence Generated Content (AIGC) and User Generated Content (UGC) play critical roles in the advancement of technology, copyright law, and creative practices. The emergence of advanced AI as a transformative force—comparable to the historical significance of fire and electricity—indicates its potential to enhance societal efficiency and advance modern civilization. However, this technological evolution introduces intricate challenges for legal research and regulatory frameworks. This paper posits that the contributions of AI developers, corporations, and end-users must be thoroughly considered when evaluating cases of copyright infringement.

REFERENCES

[1] McCarthy, J. & Computer Science Department Stanford University Stanford, CA. WHAT IS ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE? www-formal.stanford.edu. (2007, November 12) Retrieved August 21, 2023, from https://www-formal.stanford.edu/jmc/whatisai

[2] Turing, A. Computing Machinery and Intelligence (1950). In Oxford University Press eBooks (p. Mind 49: 433-460.). (2004). https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198250791.0 03.0017

[3] Baily, M., Brynjolfsson, E. & Korinek, A., Machines of mind: The case for an AI-powered productivity boom, Brookings Institution. United States of America. (2023, May 10). Retrieved from https://policycommons.net/artifacts/4140107/ machines-of-mind/4948605/ on 26 Aug 2023. CID: 20.500.12592/39bfvv

[4] Mittal. Generative AI: The Idea Behind CHATGPT, Dall-E, Midjourney and More. Unite.AI. (2023, August 8). Retrieved August 22, 2023, from https://www.unite.ai/generative-ai-the-idea-behind-chatgpt-dall-e-midjourney-and-more/

[5] Hristov, Kalin, Artificial Intelligence and the Copyright Dilemma (September 1, 2016). IDEA: The IP Law Review, Vol. 57, No. 3, 2017, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=2976428

[6] What Is Artificial Intelligence (AI)? | IBM:https://www.ibm.com/topics/artificial-intelligence

[7] Yanisky-Ravid, Shlomit, Generating Rembrandt: Artificial Intelligence, Copyright, and Accountability in the 3A Era — The Human-Like Authors Are Already Here — A New Model (April 24, 2017). Michigan State Law Review, Award Winning: The 2017 Visionary Article in Intellectual Property Law, Forthcoming, Available

[8] eDavid the robot painter excels in numerous styles. (2013, July 18). New Atlas. https://newatlas.com/edavid-robot-artist-painter/28310/

[9] Guadamuz, A. Do androids dream of electric copyright? Comparative analysis of originality

in artificial intelligence generated works (Version 1). University of Sussex. 2017. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/uos.23441399.v1

[10] Schlackman. Who holds the Copyright in AI Created Art - art journal, Artrepreneur. (2020, September 29). Retrieved August 27, 2023, from https://artrepreneur.com/journal/the-next-rembrandt-who-holds-the-copyright-in-computer-generated-art/

[11] Anderson, M. K. "Aaron": Art from the Machine. WIRED. (2001, May 12). https://www.wired.com/2001/05/aaron-art-from-the-machine/

[12] Aplin, Tanya F. and Pasqualetto, Giulia, Artificial Intelligence and Copyright Protection Chapter in Rosa Maria Ballardini, Petri Kuoppamäki, Olli Pitkänen (eds) Regulating Industrial Internet Through IPR, Data Protection and Competition Law (Kluwer, 2019), ch 5, King's College London Law School Research Paper, (May 1, 2019). Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3419481 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3419481

[13] TRN, E. Interesting Novels Written By Artificial Intelligence. (2020, August 2). Medium. https://medium.com/the-research-nest/interesting-novels-written-by-artificial-intelligence-d407e330fe07

[14] Santos, M. L. B. D. The "so-called" UGC: an updated definition of user-generated content in the age of social media. Online Information Review, (2021, May 31). 46(1), 95–113. https://doi.org/10.1108/oir-06-2020-0258

[15] Thomas. User-Generated Content - CopyrightUser. (2021, December 6). CopyrightUser -. Retrieved August 22, 2023, from https://www.copyrightuser.org/understand/rights-permissions/user-generated-content/

[16] Wu, J., Gan, W., Chen, Z., Wan, S., & Lin, H. (2023). AI-Generated Content (AIGC): A Survey. ArXiv, abs/2304.06632

[17] Brynjolfsson, & McAfee. Artificial Intelligence, For Real. Harvard Business Review Store. (2017, August 7). Retrieved August 23, 2023, from https://store.hbr.org/product/artificial-intelligence-for-real/BG1704

[18] Generative AI: How It Works, History, and Pros and Cons. (2023, May 26). Investopedia. https://www.investopedia.com/generative-ai-7497939

[19] Margoni, T. Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and EU Copyright Law: Who Owns AI? 2018. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3299523

[20] DE FILIPPI, Primavera, Copyright in the digital environment: ontologies of copyright and digital works, Florence: European University Institute, 2011, EUI PhD theses, Department of Law - https://hdl.handle.net/1814/19443

[21] How Should We Measure the Digital Economy? Harvard Business Review. 2019, November 1. https://hbr.org/2019/11/how-should-we-measure-the-digital-economy

[22] Cheng, Tania Su Li — "A Brave New World for Intellectual Property Rights" [2006] JlLawInfoSci 2; (2006) 17 Journal of Law, Information and Science 10. (n.d.). https://www.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/JlLawInfoSci/2006/2.html

[23] Cooper, Elena. JOINT AUTHORSHIP IN COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE: LEVY V. RUTLEY AND DIVERGENCE BETWEEN THE UK AND USA. Journal of the Copyright Society of the U.S.A. 2015, 62. 245-276

[24] Matulionyte, R. Should AI be attributed as an author of AI-generated works? - Kluwer Copyright Blog. Kluwer Copyright Blog. (2023, May 15). https://copyrightblog.kluweriplaw.com/2023/05/15/should-ai-be-attributed-as-an-author-of-ai-generated-works/

[25] Guadamuz. Artificial intelligence and copyright. Artificial Intelligence and Copyright. (2017, October).Retrieved August 22, 2023, from https://www.wipo.int/wipo_magazine/en/2017/05/article_0003.html

[26] Bojkov, N. User-Generated Content v.s AI-Generated Content Comparison. Embed Social. (2023, May 3). Retrieved August 22, 2023, from https://embedsocial.com/blog/ugc-ai-generated-content/

[27] Rebecca Giblin and Kimberlee Weatherall (eds), What if we could reimagine copyright?

ANU Press, 2017. available at: https://press.anu.edu.au/publications/what-if-we-could-reimagine-copyright; Martin Kretschmer, "Copyright Term Reversion and the 'Use-it-or-lose-it' Principle" 1 International Journal of Music Business Research 44, 2012, SSRN available at: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2063759

[28] Thomas, A. New working paper: Can you play? An analysis of video game user-generated content policies – CREATe. New Working Paper: Can You Play? An Analysis of Video Game User-generated Content Policies – CREATe. 2022, May 24. https://www.create.ac.uk/blog/2022/05/24/new-working-paper-can-you-play-an-analysis-of-video-game-user-generated-content-policies/